

June 20, 2018

For the record, I am Wayne Miller a resident of Yorba Linda and while a Director of the YL Water District, tonight I am speaking as a private citizen.

I wanted to thank the Board for providing more time for the 19 agencies and public to be involved in a discussion of this important topic. I know there may be differing and honest opinions of the price of desal water so I am not going there. I had two topics of interest.

First, I'd like to see ^{the District} ~~staff~~ provide projected plans for the current and projected growth of water demand over the next 10-20 years and the sources of water to meet that demand. I think scenarios for demand should include the conservation legislation that Governor Brown signed recently and for supply should include the inclusion of the existing plus expanded GWRS and the Water Fix project. I also think a scenario be developed to include emergency conditions; for example, if the MET had limits in their supply of imported water. In any case, if there is a shortfall in water supply for this area, my opinion is that the residents and districts would be ~~more~~ accepting of a plan that included desal water.

My second question is based on the assumption that the existing and expanded GWRS plus current MET supply should provide most of existing and projected needs for some time for existing customers. Thus if the desal plant is being built to primarily provide water for growth (which I favor), then I think there should be some discussion of the proportionality of costs between existing and new consumers of water. At the ACE meeting last week, there was a discussion on how the cost of a new facility was split between the existing and new customers. To be clear both existing and new customers would pay more for the desal but the new customers will pay a greater fee as it related to the cost of service. If you had that discussion, then I'd appreciate seeing it.

Thank you for this time.

J.W. Miler