

BOARD MEMBERS

Jennifer Farrell, Board Chair

Partner, Rutan & Tucker, LLP

Arthur Alderete

Realtor, RE/MAX International

Ray Arroyo

Digital Sales Manager, LA Times

Lori Ann Farrell Harrison

City Manager, City of Costa Mesa

James Fisler

Board Member, Mesa Water District

Jim Fitzpatrick

President, Solutioneers

Magdalena Gomez

Director of Public Relations, Cal Southern

Juan Gutierrez

Dir. Marketing & PR, Orange Coast College

Ken Karns

COO, OC Fair

David Koebnitz

CFO, Cla-Val

Alice La Dow

VP of Retail Banking, First Bank

Kimberley Layton

VP, External Affairs, LA Chargers

Paulette Lombardi-Fries

President, Travel Costa Mesa

Phillip Patterson

Director of Sales, Avenue of the Arts

Nick Peterson

Financial Advisor, Edward Jones

Todd Priest

President, Todd Priest & Assoc.

Mykal Urbina

Director of Corporate and Foundation Relations, Segerstrom Center of the Arts

David Vazquez

Senior Director, Strategic Partnerships, Vanguard University

Tim Wigmore

Owner, Wigmore Insurance Agency

LEADERSHIP CIRCLE

Gold

City of Costa Mesa

C.J. Segerstrom & Sons

Nuzuna Fitness

OC Fair

Rose Equities

Sesh

Segerstrom Center for the Arts

Arts

South Coast Plaza

Silver

LA Chargers

Mesa Water

Orange Coast College

Rutan & Tucker, LLP

Travel Costa Mesa

Wigmore Insurance

Bronze

Art Alderete

California Southern University

Center Club

Hoag

Janet Krochman

LA Times

Legacy Partners

SoCal Gas

Sweet Flower

Vanguard University

STAFF

Carla Valenzuela

CEO/President

Tom Johnson

President Emeritus

Juliann Harkness

Membership Dev. & Event Planning Coordinator



December 16, 2021

The Honorable Senator Dianne Feinstein
United States Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington D.C. 20510

The Honorable Senator Alex Padilla
United States Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Feinstein and Senator Padilla:

On behalf of the Costa Mesa Chamber of Commerce, we respectfully request that you include proception to public water agencies as you consider legislation addressing PFAS chemicals. The Chamber is the leading proponent for the interests of local business, which include the prosperity of its individual members, the vitality of its marketplace and the quality of its community life.

PFAS are a group of man-made chemicals created by chemical manufacturers and despite playing no role in releasing PFAS into the environment, cities and water agencies must find ways to remove them from local water supplies, find alternative water supply, and conduct expensive monitoring. PFAS have been detected in the Orange County Groundwater Basin, managed by Orange County Water District (OCWD), and are estimated to cost Orange County communities more than \$1 billion, over 30 years—a cost that could increase. Complicating this cleanup burden, ratepayers are at additional risk from pending PFAS legislation that would effectively abandon the “polluter pays” principle and create a new separate drinking water standard setting process for PFAS chemicals.

We ask as you consider legislation to address PFAS and other contaminants of emerging concern, that you **ensure such legislation provides an explicit exemption from liability for water and wastewater agencies related to PFAS cleanup costs.** A water utility that complies with applicable and appropriate federal management and treatment standards must not be responsible for current and future costs associated with a PFAS cleanup.

We are especially concerned that the House has approved the PFAS Action Act of 2021 (H.R. 2467). This bill creates liability for water agencies that simply receive and treat water supplies with across-the-board CERCLA liability for PFAS-related cleanups. This pending legislation provides an exemption for the nations’ airports, and it is vital that you secure an exemption to also include water and wastewater agencies. Water agencies have no responsibility for the presence of PFAS and the concept of imposing CERCLA liability is bad public policy.

Also, under existing law (Safe Drinking Water Act), the USEPA ensures that public health benefits of new drinking water standards are reasonably balanced with the compliance costs. We advocate for the USEPA to use this current methodology when setting drinking water standards for PFOA and PFOS. This is a longstanding method that has been used and its elimination would burden ratepayers of all income levels with higher costs.

We respectfully ask that you support implementing these public policy positions.

Sincerely,

Todd Priest
Chair, Government Affairs Committee